



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,121	06/30/2003	Zeev Sperber	P-5615-US	2983	
49444	7590 03/17/2006		EXAMINER		
	HEN ZEDEK LATZI	TREAT, WILLIAM M			
	DWAY, 12TH FLOOR . NY 10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2181		

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	,
Office Action Summary		10/608,	.121	SPERBER ET AL.	
		Examin	er	Art Unit	
		William	M. Treat	2181	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	ntion appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence add	lress
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 7 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no dication. ory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a reply will expire SIX (6) MONTHS pplication to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this cor DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status					
2a)□	Since this application is in condition for)⊠ This action is rallowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters	• •	merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte C	<i>∖uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) 13-15 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-8,10,11,16-19,22-25 and Claim(s) 2,3,9,12,20,21,26,27,35 and 3 Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by The oath or declaration is objected to by Claim(s) is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Claim(s) is/are Claim(s) are subject to restriction Claim(s) are subject to res	withdrawn from one 28-34 is/are rejected is/are objected on and/or election examiner. \sum_ accepted or to the drawing(s) e correction is required.	oted. If to. If to. If to. If to. If the drawing(s) If the drawing(s) If the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFI	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for th	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docun I Bureau (PCT Re	een received. een received in Appl nents have been recule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National S	Stage
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-	.152)

Art Unit: 2181

1. Claims 1-36 are presented for examination.

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Page 2

- 3. Claims 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 4. Claims 31-33 claim "an article comprising a storage medium having stored thereon instructions". This is not a machine-readable medium but may only be a computer printout of a program which is not patentable subject matter.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 4-8, 10-11, 16-18, 22-24, 29, and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 1, 7-8, 10-11, and 34 recite "enabling renaming" without making clear what constitutes "enabling". A word like "enable" is so all-encompassing as to make it impossible to determine the metes and bounds of applicants' claim language.
- 8. Claims 1, 7-8, 10-11, 16-18, 22, 24, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the one or more new micro-operations, which are inserted into the

Art Unit: 2181

sequence of micro-operations that includes the particular micro-operation, to merge the values into a single register.

- 9. Claims 23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted element is: the one or more new micro-operations merge the values into a single register.
- 10. In the absence of any language limiting the function of the micro-operations in claims 23 and 29, one cannot determine the metes and bounds of applicants' claim language.
- 11. Claims 4-6 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted element is: the functionality of the system (i.e., renaming of a source of a particular micro-operation even though two or more pointers each currently indicating where values of a respective group of bits of said source will be found when said particular micro-operation is executed do not all point to the same register).
- 12. In the absence of any language limiting the function of the micro-operations in claims 4-6 and 31-33, one cannot determine the metes and bounds of applicants' claim language. Surely, applicants realize instructions which concatenate/merge two or more values are well-known in the art as is how one might insert such instructions into a sequence of microinstructions. The absence of any enablement other than the mention of these tasks means applicants are depending on those of ordinary skill to already

Art Unit: 2181

know how to implement the merging and insertion. Applicants' disclosure only points to a function such as mentioned in paragraph 11, *supra*. There is no discussion of other purposes for the merge-related micro-operations and the insertion of them.

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 14. Claims 19, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Colwell et al. (Patent No. 5,471,633).
- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2181

- 17. Claims 25, 28, and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colwell et al. (Patent No. 5,471,633) in view of Nguyen (Patent No. 6,560,083).
- 18. Colwell taught the invention of exemplary claim 25, substantially as claimed, including an apparatus comprising: a processor including at least: an architectural register; and a register tracking mechanism to maintain pointers that indicate where results of micro-operations, that are to be written to said architectural register upon retirement, will be stored when said micro-operations are executed, wherein said pointers include a pointer that indicates where results of a most recently allocated micro-operation that writes to all bits of said architectural register upon retirement will be stored when said micro-operation is executed (Summary of the Invention).
- 19. Colwell did not teach his system comprises a voltage monitor. However, Nguyen taught an appropriate voltage monitor for a system such as Colwell's and that such a voltage monitor would be useful, for laptop computer systems employing Colwell's invention, to prevent transients that occur due to switching of supply sources (Background Information and col. 1, line 65 through col. 2, line 5).
- As to claims 28 and 30, Colwell taught their limitations (Summary of the Invention) except for the voltage monitor which was taught by Nguyen (see paragraph 19, *supra*).
- 21. Claims 2-3, 9, 12, 20-21, 26-27, and 35-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 22. Claims 13-15 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 2181

Page 6

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to William M. Treat at telephone number (571) 272-4175. The examiner works at home on Wednesdays but may normally be reached on Wednesdays by leaving a voice message using his office phone number. The examiner also works a flexible schedule but may normally be reached in the afternoon and evening on three of the four remaining weekdays.

24. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

W 2 1

WILLIAM M. TREAT
PRIMARY FXAMINER